

REMARKSClaim Status

In the previous Response, Claims 1, 4, 8, and 11 had been amended while Claims 3 and 10 had been cancelled. By way of this Supplemental Response, Claims 13-16 have been added. Thus, currently under prosecution, are Claims 1, 2, 4-9, 11-16.

Claims 13-16 have been added herein to mirror the method claims previously presented but to recite that the surface roughening is performed on the held surface of the polygon mirror. Respectfully, support for reciting that the roughening is performed on the held surface is best exemplified in Claim 1 wherein it states that the roughening is performed on at least one of the surface, either the holding surface of the flange member or the held surface of the polygon mirror. Thus, no new matter has been added by way of this amendment. It will be further noted that Claim 13 essentially mirrors Claim 8 while Claims 14, 15 and 16 mirror Claims 9, 11, and 12, respectively.

Rejection

In the Office Action, the claims had been rejected as being unpatentable over Isobe and it is noted that Isobe neither teaches nor suggests roughening of the held surface of the polygon mirror to the degree recited in the claims and, thus, it is respectfully submitted that newly presented Claims, 13-16, are patentable over Isobe, not only for the reasons stated above but, also, for the reasons stated in the previous Response.

Conclusion


In view of the foregoing and the previous Response, it is respectfully submitted that the Application is in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested. Should any extensions of time or fees be necessary in order to maintain this Application in pending

condition, appropriate requests are hereby made and
authorization is given to debit account # 02-2275.

Respectfully submitted,

LUCAS & MERCANTI, LLP

By:


Donald C. Lucas, Reg. # 31,275
(Attorney for Applicant(s))
475 Park Avenue South
New York, New York 10016
Tel. # (212) 661-8000
Fax # (212) 661-8002

DCL/mr

Encl: Return receipt post-card